

those of the Canadian Conference have had, on a percentage basis, a larger measure of adoption.

The latter part of the book is not as interesting for consecutive reading as the first part but it will serve as a work of reference with respect to phraseology, terms and the technique of legislation useful to both the draftsman and the man who is called upon to interpret statutes.

The publication of this treatise and similar books in recent years emphasizes the growing importance of legislation in the science of law and the corresponding need for a scientific approach by experts to the examination of the many social, economic and political problems attendant upon the legislative process.

SIDNEY SMITH.

Dalhousie Law School.

CORRESPONDENCE.

The Editorial Advisory Board of the Canadian Bar Association does not hold itself responsible for the opinions of Correspondents. Contributions to this department of the Review must be accompanied by the genuine names of the writers, to be used in the discretion of the Editor.

HON. N. W. ROWELL AT WASHINGTON.

The Editor of the Canadian Bar Review:

Sir,

Your note in the October issue about Mr. Rowell's recent address at Washington, which evidently made a great impression, reminds me of a conversation I had in 1924 with an American lawyer in London at one of the many dinners given in that year by the English Bar and others to the American and Canadian Bar Associations. At this dinner Mr. Rowell was one of the speakers. My American friend leaned over to me and remarking on Mr. Rowell's great ability went on to say that he had heard him speak in (I think) New York and that in the course of his address on that occasion Mr. Rowell had made some surprising statements—that Canada managed her own domestic affairs, through a Government of her own choosing, and that the Governor-General appointed by the British Crown was bound by the Constitution to follow the advice of his Canadian ministers and was not entitled to interfere, either on his own initiative or on instructions of the Home Government!

My friend went on to ask, with some amazement: Would Mr. Rowell dare to say these things in Canada or London, or were they for American consumption?

LEGIFER.

Toronto.